Prevalence, awareness of hypertension in rural areas of Kurnool
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Abstract:
Objective: To study the prevalence, awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension in the rural areas of Kurnool. Type of Study: Cross-sectional community-based study. Setting: Villages belonging to six sectors of the Kurnool.

Materials and Methods: General population above 18 years.

Methodology: A community-based sample was chosen by a multistage sampling technique. Subjects were screened for hypertension by a house-to-house survey. Subjects with systolic blood pressure more than 140 and diastolic blood pressure more than 90 mmHg, on hypertensive treatment, and history of hypertension were classified as hypertensives. The data thus obtained was compiled and analyzed.

Results: The prevalence rate of hypertension in the study population was 18.3% (95% CI, 16.7-19.9%). Prevalence of hypertension was more in males 19.1% (95% CI, 16.7-21.5%) than in females 17.5% (95% CI, 14.9-20.1%); 11.6%, 5.6%, and 1.2% of the total subjects had Grade I, Grade II, and Grade III, respectively. Only 33.8% of them were aware of their hypertensive status. Hypertensives of 32.1% were on treatment, and 12.5% adequately controlled their BP. About 6.9% of the total hypertensives had severe hypertension.

Statistical Analysis: Proportions, One way Analysis of Variance, Chi-square test.

Introduction:
India, the world's largest democracy, is undergoing a rapid economic growth. This growth has been accompanied by demographic, lifestyle and cultural changes which have had a large impact on the health profile of India's citizens and placed a significant strain on the country's healthcare system. In India, cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) are estimated to be responsible for 1.5 million deaths annually. Indeed, it is estimated that by 2020, CVDs will be the largest cause of mortality and morbidity in India. Hypertension is a major risk factor for CVDs, including stroke and myocardial infarction, and its burden is increasing disproportionately in developing countries as they undergo demographic transition. Using a cut-off of 140 mmHg or greater systolic blood pressure (BP), or 90 mmHg or greater diastolic BP, the age-standardised prevalence of hypertension worldwide in the year 2000 was estimated to be 26.6% in men (95% confidence interval, CI 26.0 to 27.2) and 26.1% in women (95% CI 25.5 to 26.6). This was estimated to rise to 29.0% in men (95% CI 28.6 to 29.4) and 29.5% in women (95% CI 29.1 to 29.9) by 2025.
It was estimated that around two-thirds of those with hypertension worldwide were living in developing countries (639 million) in 2000, and that this would rise to three-quarters living in developing countries (1.15 billion) by 2025. A majority of the rural population in India have inadequate access to healthcare. Over half of the outpatient consultations are with indigenous and private practitioners, where regular screening for hypertension is not practiced. Clinic-based (Opportunistic) screening of hypertension will not screen and detect a large proportion of adult hypertensives. In turn they will not seek healthcare from the formal health sector, until seriously ill. Community-based screening can improve the detection and treatment of Hypertension. As fewer studies have been undertaken in rural India, it was decided to assess the prevalence, awareness, of hypertension particularly among the rural population of Kurnool.

**Material and methods:**
A community-based cross-sectional survey was conducted in the villages of Kurnool. A multistage sampling procedure was used to select the study subjects. At the first stage, Kurnool was divided into six diagonally equal sectors on a geographical map. All the Primary Health Care (PHC) units falling in the sector were listed and every second PHC from each sector was chosen by systematic random sampling. In the second stage, villages in the selected PHCs were listed and chosen by using a random number table. In the third stage, a door-to-door survey was carried out and all the members aged above 18 years, present in the household during the time of the survey, were included in the study.

The study period is from June 2014 to May 2015. An informed verbal consent was obtained before measuring the blood pressure. One thousand nine hundred subjects drawn equally from the six sectors constituted the study sample. Blood pressure was measured by auscultation, using the standardized sphygmomanometer. All the participants were requested to take rest for ten minutes. Blood pressure was measured in the sitting posture with an appropriate-sized cuff encircling the arm. Two separate readings were taken at an interval of minimum three minutes. The average of the two readings was taken. Systolic BP measured at the appearance of the Korotkov's sounds (Phase I) and Diastolic BP was taken at the point of disappearance of the sounds (Phase V). The information regarding age, sex, awareness, and treatment of hypertension was collected in a pre-designed proforma. The participants with history of hypertension and on hypertensive medication were also labeled as hypertensives. Recent JNC VII and WHO classification were used for classifying the hypertension.

**Results:**
A total of 1900 subjects residing in rural areas were screened for hypertension, of which 349 had hypertension, giving the prevalence rate of 18.3% (95% CI, 16.7 - 19.9%) (Table 1). The prevalence of hypertension was more in males 19.1% (95% CI, 16.7 - 21.5%) than in females 17.5% (95% CI, 14.9 - 20.1%). An upward trend in prevalence was observed with increase in age, especially above 40 years, in both the sexes. Males of all the age groups had a higher prevalence than females, except the 30-39 year age group. The prevalence of hypertension was 4.9% in the 18-29 year age group, which increased to 31.2% in subjects of age over 70 years. This
difference was statistically significant. The mean SBP and DBP increased gradually with increase in age. This was also statistically significant. There was no change in the mean systolic and diastolic BP in both the sexes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group (in Years)</th>
<th>Male Screened population</th>
<th>Prevalence (%)</th>
<th>Female Screened population</th>
<th>Prevalence (%)</th>
<th>Total Screened population</th>
<th>Prevalence (%)</th>
<th>SBP in mm Hg mean (SD)</th>
<th>DBP in mm Hg mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Below 29</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>119.8 (11.1)</td>
<td>77 (8.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–39</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>125 (12.5)</td>
<td>79.5 (8.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–49</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>194.9 (15.5)</td>
<td>81.9 (10.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–59</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>139.7 (18.6)</td>
<td>83.2 (10.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–69</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>139.7 (20.4)</td>
<td>83.8 (11.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 and above</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>140.8 (21.5)</td>
<td>83.6 (11.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1053</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>847</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>13.87</td>
<td>128.5 (16.97)</td>
<td>80.6 (9.91)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P value: 0.36
*Chi-square test, *One way ANOVA

The blood pressure levels of subjects, classified according to the WHO-defined grades are shown in (Table 2). Overall, 11.7% of the study subjects had optimal blood pressure; a total of 15.7% of the subjects had high normal blood pressure; 11.6%, 5.6%, and 1.2% of the subjects had Grade I, Grade II, and Grade III, respectively. Higher proportions of males had Normal, Optimal, Grade I, and Grade II hypertension, but more number of females had high normal BP. However, the figures may be underestimated because some of the subjects were on antihypertensives.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Blood pressure level</th>
<th>Male n (%)</th>
<th>Female n (%)</th>
<th>Total n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No with normal BP</td>
<td>SBP &lt; 120 mmHg and DBP &lt; 80 mmHg</td>
<td>591 (31.1)</td>
<td>439 (23.1)</td>
<td>1030 (54.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No with optimal BP</td>
<td>SBP = 120 – 129 mmHg and/or DBP = 80 – 84 mmHg</td>
<td>128 (6.7)</td>
<td>95 (5.0)</td>
<td>223 (11.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No with high normal BP</td>
<td>SBP = 130 – 139 mmHg or DBP = 85 – 89 mmHg</td>
<td>133 (7.0)</td>
<td>165 (8.7)</td>
<td>298 (15.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1</td>
<td>SBP = 140 – 169 mmHg or DBP = 90 – 99 mmHg</td>
<td>131 (6.9)</td>
<td>90 (4.7)</td>
<td>221 (11.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 2</td>
<td>SBP = 160 – 179 mmHg or DBP = 100 – 109 mmHg</td>
<td>58 (3.1)</td>
<td>47 (2.5)</td>
<td>105 (5.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>SBP &gt; or = 180 mmHg and DBP &gt; or = 110 mmHg</td>
<td>12 (0.6)</td>
<td>11 (0.6)</td>
<td>23 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Among the 349 hypotensives only 33.8% were aware of their hypertensive status, as hypertension is a disease that occurs without any symptoms, and is only manifested in the late stage. A majority of the subjects were young hypotensives, in the 18 - 39 year age group. 32.1% of the total hypotensives were on treatment and only 12.5% adequately controlled their BP. About 6.9% of the total hypotensives had severe-hypertension.
Discussion:
Hypertension is a major public health problem in India and the world. Various studies conducted across the country have estimated the prevalence of hypertension ranging from 1.99% in 1958 to 21.2% in 1994. However, the study results were not consistent, due to variations in the cut-off values and also differing age groups constituting the study population. In most developing countries hypertension is increasing in trend in both urban and rural areas. The prevalence of hypertension in this study is higher than in the other Indian studies conducted in rural areas.\(^{4,5,6}\)

The prevalence of Grade I hypertension was more compared to the other studies. However the proportions of different grades are lower compared to a study conducted in Bangladesh and India.\(^7\)

The awareness, treatment, and adequacy of control of hypertension in this study were low (33.8%, 32.1%, and 12.5%, respectively). In a study in Kerala, it was 39%, 29%, and 10%, respectively, in the urban elderly population.\(^8\) In another study in Kerala, the awareness was 45% in the elderly population.\(^9\) These results might be due to a majority of younger population residing in rural areas. However, quite similar to the studies conducted in many developing countries, many factors play an important role for low awareness of hypertension. In a study in USA, control was only in 24% of all hypertensives and 45% of the treated hypertensives.\(^7\) In Assam about 4% of all hypertensives and 18.1% of treated controlled their blood pressure.\(^10\) Lower prevalence rates have been reported in rural areas, though with a steady increase in rates seen since the 1960s.\(^{11–14}\) In 1994 Gupta et al. reported rates of 21.6% in 1982 men, and 15.7% in 1166 women aged 20 years and over, in rural western India, though much lower rates have also been reported.\(^11\)

The prevalence rates reported here are also similar to rates seen in other low- and middle-income countries, with rates in adults of 29% in rural, and 27% in urban Ghana and 25% in urban Cameroon. The low awareness and treatment of hypertension in this study might be due to competing health priorities with many communicable diseases and MCH services. Availability, accessibility, and lack of private services in rural areas and the silent nature of the problem plays an important role. Other factors like lower socioeconomic status, health perception and low level of education can also be cited.

The low rates of awareness, treatment and control of hypertension are a matter of concern for the already outstretched public health facilities.

Conclusion:
From this study it is evident that hypertension is not only a concern of the urban population, but also a matter of debate in rural areas. Most of the hypertensives were not aware of their blood pressure, and the treatment rate was poor followed by control of hypertension. Hypertension simulates Iceberg phenomenon of diseases.

Therefore, a stringent public health effort is the remedial for the detection, control, and prevention of complications of hypertension. Basic health workers can be trained for detection of hypertension, followed by strengthening of public health surveillance. Private practitioners also have to play an important role in opportunistic screening and treatment of this disease.
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